

in you, we want to help you through these difficult times. It reaches the true heart of the American people, the people full of goodness who know what's right to do. And let's give every American a happy Thanksgiving every day. God bless America.

AMERICAN ENERGY & INFRASTRUCTURE JOBS ACT

(Mr. MURPHY of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. MURPHY of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, this week Speaker BOEHNER announced a bill that will be introduced soon to Congress to deal with our jobs issue. It's not one that raises taxes. It's not one which is going to add to the deficit. It is the American Energy & Infrastructure Jobs Act, which will be introduced soon.

It is an act that in part is related to a bill that I have presented in this Chamber for several years now in a bipartisan move to get America back to work.

Instead of importing \$129 billion worth of oil every year and sending them our wealth, it uses our oil off our coasts to create jobs.

Our infrastructure in America has a \$2 trillion pricetag to repair our roads, highways, and bridges. We also still have 14 million Americans out of work and another 10 million looking for work. It's time America got back to work, and we can do it with this bill. I urge all of my colleagues to make sure they're part of this bill when it comes out and get Americans back to work and rebuild America once again.

YUCCA MOUNTAIN

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LANDRY). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 5, 2011, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. SHIMKUS) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. SHIMKUS. Mr. Speaker, I come down to the floor once a week to talk about the high level of nuclear waste in this country and the fact that this country still doesn't have a single repository to store high-level nuclear waste.

Throughout this last year, I've talked about Hanford, Washington, which has multiple gallons of high-level nuclear waste. I then went to Zion nuclear power plant right off Lake Michigan to talk about its nuclear waste right next to the lake. A couple of weeks ago, I went to Savannah, Georgia, to talk about the Savannah River and the nuclear power plant that sits right next to the river. Then I went to the Pacific Ocean between Los Angeles and San Diego, San Onofre, where there's a nuclear power plant right on the Pacific Ocean.

Today I take the Nation to Idaho, where Idaho National Laboratory is located, comparing this site, as I do weekly, to the fine location under Fed-

eral law in the 1982 Nuclear Waste Policy Act which is Yucca Mountain.

Look at what we have at Idaho National Laboratory. At the national labs we have 5,090 canisters of nuclear waste. Yucca Mountain, none. At Idaho, the waste is stored above ground and in pools. At Yucca Mountain, the waste would be stored 1,000 feet from the surface of the ground. At Idaho, the waste would be 500 feet above the water table. At Yucca Mountain, the waste would be 1,000 feet above the water table. Idaho National Laboratory, 50 miles from Yellowstone Park; Yucca Mountain, the waste would be 100 miles from the Colorado River.

Now, why is it important to address these different locations of high-level nuclear waste across the country? Because there's 104 nuclear reactors in this country, not including all of the high-level nuclear waste that we have at our defense labs, our DOE labs, and the like.

So what this country needs to understand is there's nuclear waste all over the place and next to major population centers and next to major water reserves.

What I've also done in coming down here has been to highlight how do the Senators from the States that surround the Idaho nuclear lab—what are their positions? And their positions are as follows.

Senator BARRASSO from Wyoming is a supporter of Yucca Mountain and has stated that the end result of this saga is a 5-mile long, 25-foot-wide hole in the Nevada desert. It was meant to store America's nuclear waste but instead, because of politics, it stands as a monument to bureaucratic waste of taxpayer dollars.

What does Senator ENZI say, who's also supported and voted for Yucca Mountain in 2002? "In his campaign, President Obama promised change. He promised politics wouldn't interfere when sound science spoke. I'm disappointed that his Yucca Mountain policy ignores that campaign promise."

MIKE CRAPO voted "yes" for Yucca Mountain, and he's disappointed in the administration.

And the new Senator from Idaho, Senator RISCH, says:

"The President's decision to kill the Nation's congressionally directed repository for high-level nuclear waste as a favor to one State is politics at its worst. The Administration's decision to knowingly undermine their commitments to Idaho and 33 other States with no clear alternative cannot stand. This has become a hallmark of this administration, first with the Guantánamo prison site and now Yucca Mountain—to jump without knowing where they are going to land."

□ 1420

The other thing I've been doing has just been highlighting, as I've been taking the country through the high-level nuclear waste areas around this country: Where are the Senators based

upon their past votes or current statements?

Right now, we have 17 Senators in support; we have three in opposition; and we have four who really have no defined positions as of yet. Senator FEINSTEIN, of course, has spoken in opposition to Yucca Mountain; but with Fukushima Daiichi and with the fact that she has nuclear power plants on the shore of the Pacific Ocean, I think she is reevaluating that position.

We need 60 votes in the Senate to move forward and to finish the science on Yucca Mountain so that, by Federal law, Yucca Mountain becomes the single repository for high-level nuclear waste in this country.

With that, Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

COMMERCE CLAUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair reallocates the balance of the majority leader's time to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. BROUN).

Mr. BROUN of Georgia. In Hosea 4:6, God says:

My people are destroyed from lack of knowledge. Because you have rejected knowledge, I also reject you as My priests; because you have ignored the law of your God, I also will ignore your children.

This is a promise from a holy, righteous God who could do nothing else but fulfill that promise. We have to look at this and understand that, in this country, we have a tremendous lack of knowledge about our U.S. Constitution and that we have a tremendous lack of knowledge about the biblical foundations of our Nation and of how our Founding Fathers believed in liberty. We're losing that liberty tremendously because we have a tremendous lack of knowledge.

In Psalm 11, God says:

If the foundations are destroyed, what are the righteous to do?

I believe it's a call to duty to rebuild the foundational principles that are behind liberty.

Sworn officers of the United States—in fact, all public servants—have taken an oath to uphold the Constitution against enemies both foreign and domestic; and for decades, sworn officers of the United States have been violating that oath to uphold and protect our Nation's most precious document, the U.S. Constitution. Domestically, there are many by their actions, either intentionally or unintentionally, who undermine our governing document.

Every day, officials, ranging from Federal judges to U.S. Senators to Members of the House to leadership, ignore the original intent of our Founders that was put in the Constitution of the United States. The distortion is so great now that there is little correlation between their words and our actions here in Washington, D.C. This has become the norm for today's body of government, but it was not what the great lawmakers of the past envisioned for America's future.